IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
vs.	2:00cr54
DAVID MAIS) Electronic Filing

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this ______ day of July, 2006, defendant having moved for an extension of time within which to file pretrial motions and the court having given the matter due consideration, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion (Document No. 17) is GRANTED. The defendant shall file his pretrial motions on or before August 17, 2006.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from July 18, 2006, through August 17, 2006, be deemed excludable delay under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq. Specifically, the court finds that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant to a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(8)(A). For the reasons stated in defendant's motion, the failure to grant such a continuance would deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 12.1(c) the government shall file a response and accompanying brief to any pretrial motions within ten (10) days of the date of service.

David Stewart Cercone United States District Judge

cc: Gregory J. Nescott AUSA

John A. Knorr, Esquire 1204 Frick Building 437 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219